

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

CHAD PARKS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. CIV-22-276-JAR
)	
COMMISSIONER OF THE)	
SOCIAL SECURITY)	
ADMINISTRATION,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before this Court on Plaintiff's Motion Attorney Fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) and for relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6) filed by Teresa Grasso-Herlan, the attorney of record for Plaintiff (Docket Entry #26). Counsel requests that she be awarded fees for legal work pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) in the amount of \$25,163.00. Counsel was employed by Plaintiff to appeal the adverse decision rendered by Administrative Law Judge presiding over the request for benefits. To that end, Counsel entered into a contract for compensation with Plaintiff, providing for the payment of a fee equal to 25% of any past due benefits ultimately awarded to Plaintiff. Such contracts are recognized as valid under the prevailing case authority. Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 807 (2002).

Counsel initiated this action on Plaintiff's behalf in response to which the Social Security Administration filed an unopposed motion to remand the case. Consequently, Plaintiff was successful in this appeal. On remand, the ALJ entered

a fully favorable decision and awarded past due benefits of \$129,454.70.

The amount awarded to counsel for successfully prosecuting an appeal of a denial of Social Security benefits and obtaining benefits for a claimant may not exceed 25% of past due benefits. 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A). As in this case, Defendant is authorized to withhold up to 25% of the past due benefits awarded to a claimant for payment directly to the claimant's attorney. 42 U.S.C. § 406(a)(4). The Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals determined that the 25% amount is separate and apart from the amount awarded at the agency level under 42 U.S.C. § 406(a). Wrenn v. Astrue, 525 F.3d 931, 937-938 (10th Cir. 2008). The only condition upon the full award of 25% is a requirement that the court review contingency fee arrangements "to assure that they yield reasonable results in particular cases." Id. at 938 (citations omitted). Counsel's requested fees do not exceed either the amount contracted for in the contingency fee agreement or the limitations of §406(b).

Defendant generally does not take a position on awarding the amount requested, stating that the Social Security Administration has no financial stake in the result. As a result, Defendant neither supports nor opposes counsel's request for fees. Despite the fact the source for Counsel's compensation is a contingency fee contract, this Court has reviewed the contemporaneous time and expense records based upon the admonishment of the Tenth Circuit to do so and finds the time expended to be reasonable and necessary in consideration of the result obtained.

This Court has evaluated Counsel's request for its timeliness. In seeking an award under § 406(b), an attorney is required to employ the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6). McGraw v. Barnhart, 450 F.3d 493, 505 (10th Cir. 2006). While relief under this rule is considered extraordinary and reserved for exceptional circumstances, substantial justice is served by permitting its use in the circumstance faced by counsel in seeking these fees. Id. To that end, any fee request pursued under §406(b) should be filed "within a reasonable time of the Commissioner's decision awarding benefits." Id. (citation omitted).

In this case, Notice of Award was issued by Defendant on August 13, 2024. Counsel filed her request for compensation on September 5, 2024. This Court cannot find the delay which occurred in this case warrants the draconian result of denying an award of fees. Therefore, the request is considered timely.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion Attorney Fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) and for relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6) filed by Teresa Grasso-Herlan, the attorney of record for Plaintiff (Docket Entry #26) is hereby **GRANTED**. Plaintiff's counsel is awarded fees in the amount of \$25,163.00. By separate Order, this Court found Plaintiff's EAJA request to be moot since it would have to be refunded to Plaintiff. Weakley v. Bowen, 803 F.2d 575, 580 (10th Cir. 1986). Defendant is ordered to pay the awarded fee directly to counsel from the amount of past due benefits withheld for that purpose.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 6th day of March, 2025.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Jason A. Robertson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

JASON A. ROBERTSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE